Abnormal Skeletal Growth Patterns in Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis
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Abstract

Background: Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis (AIS) occurs among children during their pubertal growth spurt. Although there is no clear consensus on the difference in body height between AIS and healthy controls, it is generally thought that the development and curve progression in patients with AIS is closely associated with their growth rate.

Our aim is to compare the anthropometric parameters of children with AIS and those of a control group within different age groups ranging from 9 to 16 years old.

Methods: It is a prospective, cross-sectional, case-control study which include 431 children, 258 girls, 110 with AIS and 148 healthy controls, whereas in the group of males 173, 49 have AIS and 124 don’t have deformity.

Anthropometric parameters, clinical examination of the trunk and radiological assessment of the spine are recorded. The statistical analysis is performed using SPSS package.

Children are examined from a school-screening program in our physical medicine department in the university hospital of Douera in Algiers. Measurements are assessed, including anthropometric parameters (body height, body weight, secondary sexual characters using Tanner stage, puberty age), trunk asymmetry and Cobb angle of scoliosis.

Results: Girls with AIS are generally taller, with a higher weight than the healthy controls with a significant difference at the age of 12 years old. Otherwise, boys with AIS aged of 14 years are significantly taller than their controls.

Conclusion: The growth patterns in terms of tallness with AIS are significantly different from healthy controls at the ages of 12 for girls and 14 for boys.
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6. Introduction

AIS is known to be a three-dimensional spine deformity with unknown pathogenesis, progression may occur until the end of bone maturity in 10% to 20% [10, 16, 22] of curves detected in school screening programs and not treated. Factors that correlate with the risk of curve progression have been identified in natural history studies of AIS, as sex, curves pattern, Cobb angle, age at diagnosis, menarche and Risser sign [2, 4, 6, 18, 19, 21].

Many authors recognized that the development and progression of idiopathic scoliosis are growth related and they reported that the curve progression occurs during the adolescent growth spurt both in females and males [6, 8, 9, 12, 17, 23]. More knowledge about this spine deformity revealed that the
pubertal development and curve progression in patients with AIS are closely associated with their growth rate [1, 6, 7, 13, 20], as well as body growth seems to be different between healthy children and those with idiopathic scoliosis. This correlation between growth and AIS was illustrated by the Duval-Beaupère diagram (Fig.1)[8] which shows curves progression increasing and coinciding with growth spurt during the peri-pubertal period, where height velocity is the greatest at pubertal stages II and III of Tanner classification [8, 17].

Classically, slowed aggravation continues until Risser 3-4 in girls and later in boys at Risser5.

![Fig 1: Duval-Beaupère diagram](translated from French to English).

This study aimed at comparing the anthropometric parameters of children with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) and those of a control group with children age.

7. Material and Methods

We proceeded to a prospective study on the anthropometric parameters of children with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis(AIS), using cross-sectional and case-control data set in comparison with children age. We performed this study within a school screening program managed during a 2-year period between 2011 and 2012 at the department of physical medicine and rehabilitation in Algiers, Algeria.

The inclusion criteria for patients were age and Cobb angle. School children with ages ranging from 9 to 16 years were selected as it was recommended in a study made in Algiers during 1995 and 1996 [11]. Patients screened were considered having scoliosis, when the Cobb angle measured 10° or more. For the control group we selected subjects without scoliosis that were of similar age. Consent was obtained from all the parents before admission to the study. Excluded were patients with evidence of abnormalities, thoracic deformity, congenital spine abnormalities, skeletal dysplasia, neuromuscular diseases and other types of scoliosis.

Different anthropometric parameters were assessed, using standard procedures. Standing height was measured with the subjects standing upright against a wall-
mounted stadiometer, with their heads positioned in the Frankfort horizontal plane and their heels against this tool.

Corrected height was calculated using Bjure equation: \[ \log y = 0.011x - 0.177 \] [3, 14, 25], where \( y \) is the reduction in trunk height (cm) caused by the spinal deformity, and \( x \), the Cobb angle of the primary curve.

Body Weight (Kg) was measured in light clothes without shoes on a standard weighing scale.

Body Mass Index was calculated considering the corrected height in scoliotic school children.

Puberty was appreciated on Secondary Sexual Characters using Tanner’s method [17] and menarche which age was 12.53 years for girls with AIS and 12.97 for those without AIS. The difference was statistically not significant. The period of changing (breaking) of voice was difficult to be known in boys.

The diagnosis of AIS was confirmed on a clinical examination using Adam’s forward bending test [5, 11, 25], and a standard standing radiograph of the Spine. The Adams test was done in ambient temperature, on undressed child. The child bends at the hips to nearly 90° forward, with the arms relaxed, palms together hand in front of the other, the knees straight, hind foot joint together and forefoot making 30°. The physician inspects the trunk from a posterior to anterior view, and notes any asymmetrical prominence on one side of the thoracic or lumbar area, using a scoliometer.

Before this test was performed, we eliminated any pelvic tilt due to leg length inequality. All children with trunk asymmetry received an X-ray of the spine to confirm the diagnosis of scoliosis which is defined by Cobb angle equal to 10° or more.

8. Results

We used the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS Version 20.0) to calculate the Student’s t test to compare two means. The cut off mark of our level of significance is set to alpha equal to 5%.

Forty hundred and thirty one (431) school children aged 9 to 16 years old were examined with a predominance of girls (59.86 %). 36.9 % of the total presented the spinal deformity.

The distribution of AIS patients and their controls according to their chronological ages is shown in the following graph (Fig. 2) where we see that the girl’s sample is randomly distributed but not homogeneously.
Fig. 2: Comparison of girl’s distribution between AIS and normal control sample. That was different in boy’s population where the distribution was uniformly homogeneous (Fig.3)

Fig. 3: Comparison of boy’s distribution between AIS and normal control sample.
Anthropometric Measurements, Girls

The Body heights, corrected heights, weights of girls with AIS and their healthy controls are illustrated in the following graphs, respectively (Fig. 4, 5, 6 and 7).

Fig. 4: Comparison of uncorrected height between the controls and AIS by chronological age in girls

Fig. 5: Comparison of corrected height between the controls and AIS by chronological age in girls.

Girls with AIS were generally taller than the healthy controls, considering uncorrected height (p=0.002) and corrected body height (p=0.001); height velocity was the greatest at the age 12 which corresponded to the stages II and III of breast and pubic hair development (Tanner’s method).
Fig. 6. Comparisons of body weight between controls and AIS by chronological age in girls.

Body weight is higher in AIS than the controls at the onset of puberty with a significant difference, but at an age of 16 years they become underweight. As we see BMI is significantly different with p=0.001.

All data obtained in girls are summarized in table 1.
Table 1: Comparison of anthropometric measurements between female AIS and their controls by chronological age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>BH</th>
<th>CBH</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>BMI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIS</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>AIS</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>132±3</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>133±3</td>
<td>0.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>138±6</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>139±11</td>
<td>0.736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>140±10</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>140±10</td>
<td>0.859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>151±5</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>152±7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>149±7</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>154±7</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>156±7</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>158±7</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>160±6</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>161±7</td>
<td>0.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>162±5</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>163±5</td>
<td>0.331</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anthropometric measurements, boys

The following graphs (8, 9, 10 and 11) illustrate the anthropometric measurements in boys.

Fig.8: Comparison of height between the controls and AIS by chronological age in boys.
In terms of weight, we noticed that the BMI is higher at the age of 9 in AIS group with $p=0.042$.

**Fig.9:** Comparison of corrected height between the controls and AIS by chronological age in boys

**Fig.10:** Comparisons of body weight between the controls and AIS by chronological age in boys.
Fig. 11: Comparisons of BMI between the controls and AIS by chronological age in boys.

All data obtained in boys are summarized in table 2

Table 2: Comparison of anthropometric measurements between male AIS and their controls by chronological age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>BH</th>
<th>Control P</th>
<th>AIS</th>
<th>Control P</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>Control p</th>
<th>AIS</th>
<th>Control p</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Control p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>132±7</td>
<td>133±4</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>133±7</td>
<td>133±4</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>32±7</td>
<td>28±3</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>18±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>131±6</td>
<td>135±4</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>132±6</td>
<td>135±4</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>26±3</td>
<td>29±3</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>15±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>138±11</td>
<td>140±6</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>138±11</td>
<td>140±6</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>30±5</td>
<td>33±4</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>15±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>149±6</td>
<td>147±4</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>150±6</td>
<td>147±4</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>37±6</td>
<td>37±7</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>16±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>153±10</td>
<td>153±12</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>154±10</td>
<td>153±12</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>44±9</td>
<td>43±10</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>18±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>164±9</td>
<td>156±6</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>165±9</td>
<td>156±6</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>48±12</td>
<td>44±7</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>17±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>165±10</td>
<td>162±8</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>166±10</td>
<td>162±8</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>50±6</td>
<td>53±10</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>18±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>172±8</td>
<td>170±7</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>173±8</td>
<td>170±7</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>50±6</td>
<td>59±13</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>17±1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Discussion

Abnormal growth was observed in the natural history of AIS during puberty as it has been reported in many important studies [4, 6, 20, 23], which described more disorders in girls. In the present study, the results demonstrate that the girls with AIS are generally taller than the healthy controls, considering uncorrected and corrected height, the difference is significant at the age of 12.
In the literature, Cheng and al [5] didn’t find any statistical difference neither in uncorrected height nor in uncorrected sitting height between AIS girls and normal controls at each age group except for the age of 15, however, after corrected trunk loss, girls with the spine deformity were significantly taller than the controls between ages 13 and 15. Yim and al [25] compared anthropometric parameters with severity of the curves and concluded that, the uncorrected height was the same for each group of age and the corrected height in AIS group with a Cobb angle greater than 40° was shorter than the matched control at the age of 12, it subsequently caught up and became significantly taller than the control group at the age of 14 to 16 years old.

After analysis of data of weight, we see that girls with AIS are underweight at an age of 16, and BMI was significantly lower with p=0.001. Certain authors [5, 25] reported that weight and BMI were lower in AIS than in controls, for Yim and all other authors, it was significantly lower in the AIS20 and AIS40 groups across all ages except for the age of 15 years.

Concerning boys, corrected and uncorrected heights are significantly higher than matched controls at age of 14, while Wang who studied arm spans and corrected standing heights showed that these measurements were similar, in most of the ages [18].

Analysis of weights and BMI didn’t give us objective difference between boys with AIS and the matched controls, even males seem to be underweight at the end of maturity in the small sample of ours. When we compare these results to the literature, we find that in a series larger than in our study, Wang [18] demonstrated that male AIS presented lower body weights and BMIs than their controls, between the ages of 15 and 17, with a significant difference.

The present investigation, the first one in our country, aimed to compare the anthropometric measurements between children with AIS and a healthy control group of similar age during the peri-pubertal period in a small-scale cross-sectional study of a school population sample.

Obviously, girls and boys with AIS exhibit abnormal longitudinal growth. More than this we noticed in our empirical practice, that boys and girls lost weight at the end of growth, but we can’t prove that. Indeed, we did not research about the possible causes as genetic status, eating behavior, practicing sport, factors that could influence growth.

We believe that, in addition to the anthropometric parameters which are important maturity indicators that reflect growth and can predict the progression of scoliosis curvatures, we must consider other signs such as sexual characters, skeletal maturity (Risser sign, bone age) and morphology of proper vertebral deformity especially in the sagittal plane that can contribute to understand the worsening scoliosis.

More investigation and more research in the field of spinal deformities will probably reveal that their progression in children and adolescents depends on a set of known and less known factors, and may be will highlight the relation between at last three elements as growth, genetics and nutritional status.
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