Acute Pancreatitis between Practice and Recommendations
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Abstract
Background: Acute inflammation of the pancreas, acute pancreatitis (AP) shows an increasing incidence in the world as well as in Algeria. However, lethality and mortality are decreasing, mainly due to recommendations from Atlanta, the International Pancreatology Association, and the American Pancreatology Association. The purpose of this work was to analyze the management of AP in the general surgery department of the UHC of Sidi Bel Abbes.
Method: In a retrospective study, 100 acute pancreatitis cases admitted to general surgery between January 2017 and December 2019 were analyzed. The abdominal scanner was systematic at the admission. The rate of lipasemia, when greater than 3 times the normal one, allowed, in association with the evocative pain, to make the diagnosis. The severity of AP was assessed using the Balthazar score. An MRI was requested when the scanner was inconclusive, to look for an etiology and / or possible complications. Seventy-nine (79%) patients received broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy and diet was systematic.
Results: We have transmitted the recommendations of scholar societies to the various specialists and the management of AP is currently more unified and more effective. Scanner is no longer systematic in the early phase. Antibiotic therapy is no longer administered without biological evidence. Enteral nutrition is now possible.
Conclusion: We were able to reach the goal of reducing hospitalization and scanning requests. A further analysis of 100 other PA files is planned to confirm the obtained results.
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Introduction

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is an acute inflammation of the pancreas, clinically characterized by acute abdominal pain and a serum elevation of pancreatic enzymes. In view of current knowledge, acute pancreatitis, especially in its severe form, must be perceived as a "sepsis-like syndrome" characterized by a systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) [1]. The incidence of AP continues to grow, especially in the USA and Europe, even if there are variations according to regions and countries for the same continent [2-3]. It is the same in Algeria, where the incidence of AP at Sidi Bel Abbes University Hospital Center has quadrupled throughout 20 years. Formerly a surgical emergency, AP is now considered as a resuscitation emergency, requiring days of hospitalization [4-6] at very high cost [4].

However, if the incidence of AP increases, lethality [3] and mortality [7] are decreasing compared to historical data, although the mortality remains high, between 1 and 5% [4]. This is a life-threatening emergency whose management is multidisciplinary, provided by a specialized team in pancreatology, within a accordingly equipped center. Only under these conditions, mortality from severe forms will be reduced by more than 27%, the length of hospital stay shortened and complications better managed. Effective communication between specialists is essential. The team stakeholders must use the same language in order to avoid any confusion that is sometimes a source of fatal misinterpretation. The Atlanta
conference of 1992, updated in 2012, responds to this imperative by proposing a language that every physician must use [8-9]. The International Association of Pancreatology (IAP) and American Pancreatology Association (APA) have made recommendations [10] as to support the AP. Our present work aims to analyze the management of AP in the general surgery department of Sidi Bel Abbes UHC, compared to these recommendations.

2. Subjects and methods

We carried a retrospective survey in order to analyze the files of the last 100 acute pancreatitis admitted to the general surgery department, between January 2017 and December 2019, after their transfer from medical and surgical emergencies.

Inclusion criteria: Acute pancreatitis in subjects of both sexes over 16 years of age.

Exclusion criteria: Patients under the age of 16 and postoperative acute pancreatitis.

Our purpose was to verify whether the care procedures have complied with international recommendations, those of (IPA), (APA) and the data from the 2012 Atlanta conference.

About positive diagnosis: After collecting some demographic data, our patients underwent, upon admission, an interrogation, and a series of examinations.

- The questioning looked for the notion of alcoholism, metabolic disorders, and drug intake.
- The clinical examination specified the pain and its semiological characteristics as well as the presence of vomiting. Body temperature, heart rate, and blood pressure were measured.
- Complementary examinations were based on:
  - Biological data with mainly the assay of lipasemia, a blood count, and kidney function.
  - Medical imaging: in order to assess the severity of the attack, an abdominal computed tomography (ACT) was routinely ordered after the 48th hour in 12 patients, the 72nd hour in 77 patients and the 92nd hour in only 11 patients. The severity of the acute pancreatitis was assessed according to the Balthazar classification. A magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) allowed the classification of acute pancreatitis of our patients; it was requested in 20 of them: when the CT was inconclusive (8 patients), to look for an etiology (7 patients) and possible complications (5 patients).
- Assessment of respiratory function.

About etiological diagnosis: It was clarified after careful questioning and clinical examination.

3. Results

In our series, more than 50% of AP occurred in people over the age of 50. There is a male predominance for patients over the age of 31, an inverted rate for patients aged from 16 to 30.

Clinically, pain dominated the picture in 82% of the cases. It was very evocative of a pancreatic origin with its intensity, its epigastric site, and its transfixing character. Vomiting was reported in 40% of cases. The interrogation found the notion of chronic alcoholism in 16 patients, metabolic disorders in 8 patients,
medicament intake mainly for arterial hypertension, diabetes, and thyroid disease in 10 patients. A biliary cause was found in 66% of the cases (history of hepatic colic, sub-jaundice (06%), biliary surgery (10%). Acute iatrogenic pancreatitis post RECP (retrograde endoscopy cholangiopancreatography) was found in 2% of cases. Biologically, the diagnosis was posed when lipasemia value was greater than 3 times the upper limit of normal and it was the case in 60 patients. ACT examination allowed assessing the severity of acute pancreatitis according to the Balthazar classification: 16 cases were found at stage A; 5 cases at stage B; 32 cases at stage C; 11 at stage D; and 36 at stage E. Regarding MRI, the study of the files made it possible to classify the AP of our survey into mild AP in 49 cases, moderately severe in 27 cases and severe AP in 24 cases.

Upon admission, kidney dysfunction is reported in 15 patients, tachycardia in 10 patients, hypotension in 12 and hyperthermia in 34 patients. Ten patients had a disturbance in respiratory function. Hyperleukocytosis is noted in 63 patients. After the 48th hour, renal function was disturbed in nine patients; tachycardia was present in 09 patients, hypotension in 08 patients and hyperthermia in 38 patients. Eleven patients presented with disturbed respiratory function. Hyperleukocytosis is noted in 49 patients. According to records, organ failure was held up when a disruption of function was found.

In retrospect, we also found that a Broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy was prescribed in 79 patients, and a nasogastric tube inserted in 21 patients. A diet of 04 to 10 days was systematic in the patients. For AP B, 30 cholecystectomies were performed within 6 to 8 weeks following discharge from the service.

4. Discussion

Analysis of the files reveals that the care of APs varies widely depending on the on-call teams.

According to the Atlanta conference, the onset of acute pancreatitis is defined by the time of onset of abdominal pain, not the time of admission to hospital. In almost all of the files, confusion was noted between the actual start of the crisis and the time of admission.

This concept is important when transferring a patient to another health center. The patient card must specify the time of onset of pain and the time of admission with all the collected parameters and medical information [8]. Rightly, amylasemia was not requested, as it is not specific to pancreatic disease.

The Atlanta conference defines the diagnosis of AP as the presence of at least two of the following three diagnostic criteria [8,11 and12]:

- Evocative abdominal pain (acute pain with a sudden onset, epigastric, permanent and transfixing radiating to the back). Lipasemia (or amylasemia) three times the upper limit of normal.
- Lipasemia (or amylasemia) three times the upper limit of normal.
- Characteristic signs on imaging (CT scan with injection, more rarely on MRI or abdominal ultrasound).

Abdominal CT was systematic in all patients, although it is no longer indicated at admission, neither for diagnostic purposes nor to assess the severity of the AP. On the other hand, it is indicated during the early phase when there is a doubtful diagnosis or a suspected complication, for monitoring the evolution as well as for etiological research.
During the early period, there is no clinico-radiological parallelism; therefore, we should focus exclusively on clinical and biological parameters. It is only in the presence of disturbances in the early phase that imaging will be judicious to look for pancreatic or locoregional complications. According to the Atlanta conference, the initial assessment (clinical, biology, chest radiography, and systematic abdominal ultrasound) identifies three situations:

- **Low severity AP with low risk of necrosis and death**: The abdominal CT scan is not necessary if the clinical course remains favorable within 72 hours. It is not systematic and will not be requested in case of mild AP without a diagnostic or etiological problem. CT should not be performed systematically in mild recurrent AP without seriousness, especially in a patient known to the department. And in total, this examination will not be requested in the absence of complications.
- **Intermediate or high severity AP**: the risk of necrosis is possible and the risk of death is not negligible. The scanner should be done within 5-7 days. Intensive therapeutic measures must be started quickly with a multidisciplinary team.
- **There are signs of seriousness, but the diagnosis is questionable**: There is an indication to scan as soon as possible in less than 48 hours looking for an accurate diagnosis. The scan should not be done too early or too often without justification. In fact, a CT scan with injection can worsen AP with potential nephrotoxicity. In addition, its repetition exposes to a significant irradiation. We must be careful with a young person with hereditary PA. However, this examination will be necessary with severe or moderately severe AP if there is a deterioration of the clinical condition or no response to a well-conducted treatment. Otherwise, it will be done as late as possible.

The Balthazar score [13] was used in all patients by the radiologist to assess the severity of the AP, which is no longer retained by the recommendations of learned societies. Especially since it was modified for the first time by its author [14-15] to include the necrosis of the parenchyma evaluated as a percentage, and a second time when it was said mCTSI: the latter takes into account the extra pancreatic complications [16], with a better correlation with the severity of AP [17]. We have found that antibiotic therapy has been prescribed in 79 patients as a preventive measure to face hyperthermia or leukocytosis, while learned societies only recommend antibiotic therapy if there is a proven infection. Prophylaxis antibiotic is not systematic in patients even with severe AP [18-19] including those with sterile necrosis [20-21]. This antibiotic therapy is also not justified in the face of a simple feverish state, high CRP or hyperleukocytosis [19, and 22-25], the major risk being the selection of germs, especially fungal ones, which is damaging for the patient and for the hospital, without actually preventing infection or reducing mortality [24].

In the face of a suspected clinical or radiological infection [18], a sample must prove it. It will be done at the collection level and or at the periphery. Currently, an assay of procalcitonin, the blood level of which rises in case of infection, has been proposed for the diagnosis of infected necrosis [18]. All our patients were put on a diet, while oral feeding can be resumed in mildly severe AP as soon as the pain subsides and when the markers of inflammation improve [1]. All patients with severe AP or predictive factors of severity should be placed on artificial nutrition. Indeed, enteral nutrition is clearly superior to
parenteral one for preventing organ failure [26], systemic and surgical interventions infection and even for reduction of mortality.

Enteral nutrition can be administered by the naso-jejunal way and advantageously by the nasogastric way [1] (which does not require endoscopy or anesthesia). Parenteral nutrition will only be administered if support is necessary when the naso-jejunal way is not tolerated. This diet must be started within 24 to 48 hours to prevent bacterial translocation and therefore infection from necrosis, non-infectious complications, mortality as well as the length of hospitalization [27]. In case of parenteral feeding, the first opportunity must be taken to switch to enteral feeding.

For mild biliary pancreatitis, cholecystectomy and / or sphincterotomy must be performed during the same hospitalization. Indeed, recurrence after one month is estimated at 20% and can sometimes be serious.

5. Conclusion

Acute pancreatitis is a life-threatening emergency whose management is multidisciplinary. However, mortality from severe forms can be reduced by 27% in a well-equipped specialized center. Praying practices in our department have significantly improved since we communicated our remarks to all acute pancreatitis staff and they have been meticulously followed. Thus, a marked reduction in the length of hospitalization and requests for scanners was noted. A subsequent analysis of one hundred other cases of acute pancreatitis would be necessary to confirm the obtained results.
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